

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,123	08/07/2003	Michael Hung-Sun Oh	502055	1564
23626 7590 11/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	IT & MAYER, LTD	FENSTERMACHER, DAVID MORGAN		
6815 WEAVER ROAD ROCKFORD, IL 61114-8018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	<b>‡</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	•	10/636,123	OH ET AL.	S			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M. Fenstermacher	3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u>	ıgust 2003.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	,—						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/are:		o by the Examine	ır.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗌 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/03.	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application is a CIP of 10/101,681. This is the first action on the merits. Claims 1-20 are pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS, filed 11/20/03, has been considered in full.

### **Drawings**

3. The drawings, as originally filed, are acceptable as formal.

### **Double Patenting**

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 9-12 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,725,976. This is a double patenting rejection.

Instant Claims 6,725,976

Claim 10 Claim 9

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 11	Claim 11
Claim 12	Claim 12
Claim 13	Claim 10

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 14-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,725,976. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference is "torsion spring" vs. "clock spring".

The instant application claims "a clock spring" [see claim 14, line 11]. The patent recites "a torsion spring" [column 9, line 51]. It is the Examiner's position that these are just two different ways of saying the same thing. There appears to be no difference between the two recitations.

Instant Claims	<u>6,725,976</u>
Claim 14	Claim 13
Claim 15	Claim 15
Claim 16	Claim 16
Claim 17	Claim 17
Claim 18	Claim 18

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 19

Claim 19

Claim 20

Claim 20

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 5,310,021 (Hightower).

Hightower shows the claimed invention including a motor (30) driven gear system (35) which is spring (31) returned; the device having a manual override (as at 25) which could be used to manually actuate the system. The device being operative to drive a damper for a ventilation system.

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3682

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not relied upon shows gear train arrangements which are manually or electrically operated.

12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

Signature:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with

Art Unit: 3682

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Fenstermacher whose telephone number is 703-305-7438. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Fenstermache

Art Unit 3682